What did you like most in the course? Why?
What did you like most in the course? Why?

I liked the fact that this subject was new for me. I find the knowledge acquired not only interesting for GIS related tasks but also for other purposes were setting up a database could be needed.

I liked most in the course are module 2 and 3, because I think that they are the ones who show better how a database works.

The Project Assignment. This aspect of the course allowed me the opportunity to apply principles learnt to date in solving real life problems. It urged me to review certain topics covered in greater details as well as to do further research. Some I was able to solve, while others like importing GML file into the geodatabase still eludes me. Nevertheless the research taught me many valuable lessons.

I liked the geodatabase theory, the project.

The combination of theoretical information and practical exercise. It helps to better understand the material. Just listing/ reading about databases doesn't really give you an understanding, but by e.g. trying to retrieve data from a database that you created, it help me understand why things sometimes don't work , the way I thought they should. Through that, it comes clearer on how to design the database in the first place or how to use the right command so you get the data you want to retrieve.

I liked that I was able to learning more about retrieval data from a spatial database with the use of SQL. I always wanted to learn more about SQL. It also improves my understanding as I will be working with very large sets of data in the future and the compilation of these datasets and retrieval via the use of an SQL will become very important.

In general, I like the structure of the overall course as each module is independent of each other. I also like the fact that lecturers are now reachable. For this particular module I like how it teaches you how to design database and retrieving information from it especially the database and SQL section. I like this part of the course because it actually assist me not only at work but in the home as I can create database to store information about my spending habits at home.

Learning:
- SQL language
- UML data modeling
- spatial database implementation
- Postgresql/Postgis
- ArcGIS 10

I like that I learned a lot new things, and that the teachers where responding very quick and gave me constructive feedback.

I enjoyed getting to know the basic sql syntaxes in the practical exercises. Also very fast and good responses to questions or exercises sent in the AC system.

I really liked the lectures, they were very clear and interesting

I liked that you were able to chose your own topic for the database in the final project. I also liked the help available from the w3 website as it was necessary to have clear examples to work from.

The SQL interface and the project.

The first was a very didactic tool and teh second allow to put in practice what I have learnt.

I liked the hands on experience on Databases

It is very practical.

What I did like most in the course was the perspective of going deep into SQL. Now, it is clear to me how databases are build-up on SQL. I also liked the emphasis that for building a database, it is very important to invest time in the design of the it.

Getting a broad knowledge that can also be used outside the GIS environment

I liked that all parts had assignments, it helps you learn more

I liked that all parts had assignments, it helps you learn more

That was really useful when instructions on this course are given trough video lectures. It's very handy to have the video and presentation slides in the same time.

Learning more about SQL and learning about the ESRI geodatabase

The two lectures are really good. They deliver the course content very clearly.

The lecturers Roger and Harry are really good and present the content clearly and easily to be understand.

I liked the projects since connects most parts of the course and you get a whole picture of building a geographical database.

the most thing I liked in this course is the concept of geo-spatial databases and also Spatial SQL.

this because it learned me who is the GIS tools works like Queries , Zoom in , identify ..etc

I most liked the SQL and database design tuition and exercises. I have for a very long time wanted to get better familiarly with these, and then the Spatial database exercise was also excellent.

I like the design and sql part

I like the design and sql parts

I like the design and sql parts

The course structure was really iterative to built up my knowledge for the knowledge of understanding how a database works.

The first was a very didactic tool and teh second allow to put in practice what I have learnt

I liked the hands on experience on Databases

I liked the design and sql part

I like the design and sql parts

I like the design and sql parts

The course structure was really iterative to built up my knowledge for the knowledge of understanding how understanding working with Geographic databases. why, because it start preliminary steps and fundamentally basis of the database concepts and structures moving to the implementation of solid geographic databases, and I think it is one of the valuable courses in this program which I think required more enhancement to focus on more advanced topics especially in ESRI.

Practical content and prompt teachers' response

The provided-SQL and POSTGIS-student environment were very helpful for a hands-on learning experience of the commands presented in the E-Learning lectures.

I really enjoyed sql assignment because it was challenging but not too much, just the way it is supposed to be. Also, project assignment was very interesting. We used previously acquired knowledge and put it into practice.

I really enjoyed the whole curriculum of this course. To be specific, the modeling, object oriented database and the geodatabase parts are the most important and pillars of the geodatabase.

Learning about SQL queries. It’s useful and interesting as well.

The teacher were good and patience. I like that and this has encourage met to like this course.

The ability to link data layers and sets into a coherent database. The POSTGIS experience was most interesting

Learning a bit of everything (UML, PostgreSQL, PostGIS, Geodatabases) in a simple and enjoyable way.

The course was fine in all aspects.

The logical thinking needed to solve the assignments.

Learning SQL. Writing code is very rewarding when you get it to work.

I liked the whole course, it was challenging and interesting

The depth of practical work

The last assignment in the course put all new knowledge together into a reality based project, which implies that the course fulfilled its purpose.

This was what I enjoyed most!

This course has been very important to me. Almost every thing was important and necessary. For example the topic of modelling has helped me to understand more how to conceptualize general ideas of the real world and put them into a model.
What did you like least in the course? Why?

I found the syntax part a little bit boring, the fact that it had to be very exact. But I think that that i something not possible to remedy since that is how it works.
There are no things that I didn’t like. I think all the parts were necessary and interesting.
Designing database was a bit tricky, it took me some time to complete it.
I just would have appreciated if we could go a bit deeper in module 2 and 3.
To me all areas of this course was very exciting! Initially UML Modeling was not too appealing, however as my understanding and skill in this area increased my appreciation even for this topic increased greatly.
Modeling, SQL: this is basic stuff someone on this level should already know.
There wasn’t really anything I really didn’t like in this course.
Sometimes I didn’t understand directly what was supposed to be include in an exercises, so I got it back, but when I got it back and got very good and informative feedback in this course.

The different steps in the final project were not clear.
Sometimes I didn’t understand directly what was supposed to be include in an exercises, so I got it back, but when I got it back and got very good and informative feedback in this course.

The exercise for the geodatabase I liked the least as the water lateral theme was not particularly interesting and the instructions were long and hard to follow. The topics were hard to apply in reality outside the tailored structure of the assignment data.
I did not really grasp how knowing SQL would help me with the Geodatabase it feels as if i am missing something of the full picture.

The video lectures where sometimes hard to follow and not everything where understandable. Maybe some type of lecture notes could be added.
the least thing in the course is the database theory Part.

The video lectures are a bit monotonous, but still very useful.
All things are important
all the parts are important, I like it generally.
the least i like is to use the Postgresql SQL database, especialy the technology moves to new technologies.
why: the GIS now increase its value while moving faster in parallel to the technology rates.  
Nothing
Sometimes it was difficult to assess whether the amount of detail/length dedicated to the individual exercises was too little/minimalistic, too big or just right. In particular in the context of the project exercise, it seemed difficult to me to find the right balance and to do no excessive work.
UML was challenging for me. I think teachers did not put too much effort to explain it properly. I talked to some of the students and they all felt the same. I think that UML design should be better covered with lectures.
None
I liked everything.
The should be an introduction priory to UML modeling (Exercise 1). I got stuck there.
The POSTGIS experience was most interesting
Sometimes it was difficult to assess whether the amount of detail/length dedicated to the individual exercises was too little/minimalistic, too big or just right. In particular in the context of the project exercise, it seemed difficult to me to find the right balance and to do no excessive work.
UML was challenging for me. I think teachers did not put too much effort to explain it properly. I talked to some of the students and they all felt the same. I think that UML design should be better covered with lectures.
None
I liked everything.
The should be an introduction priory to UML modeling (Exercise 1). I got stuck there.
The POSTGIS experience was most interesting
Sometimes it was difficult to assess whether the amount of detail/length dedicated to the individual exercises was too little/minimalistic, too big or just right. In particular in the context of the project exercise, it seemed difficult to me to find the right balance and to do no excessive work.
UML was challenging for me. I think teachers did not put too much effort to explain it properly. I talked to some of the students and they all felt the same. I think that UML design should be better covered with lectures.
None
Sometimes it was difficult to assess whether the amount of detail/length dedicated to the individual exercises was too little/minimalistic, too big or just right. In particular in the context of the project exercise, it seemed difficult to me to find the right balance and to do no excessive work.
UML was challenging for me. I think teachers did not put too much effort to explain it properly. I talked to some of the students and they all felt the same. I think that UML design should be better covered with lectures.
None
I liked everything.
The should be an introduction priory to UML modeling (Exercise 1). I got stuck there.
What was missing in the course? Why?

Nothing that I can come up with!

I think that no important aspects were missing in the course. I maybe would have liked more to go a bit more deeper in the exercises of module 2 and 3.

More video lectures could be useful for further learning.

I don't think that there was anything missing in the course. As already said in the previous question, I just think that the course had to go a bit deeper in module 2 and 3.

At the end of this course there is still some disconnection between the work done in ArcCatalog and ArcMap as it relates to configuring the behaviour of the data in the geodatabase. Therefore I'm thinking that a little more emphasis on bridging the gap between both aspect of ArcGIS would greatly assist. Just a thought!

More geodatabase theory, maybe a little more discussion about database formats. One of the biggest problems/issues today in the industry is data merging - there is maybe commercial data form several sources, there is self-collected data and there is crowd-sourced data. I would like to know a little more about merging data-sets and the (many) issues associated with this.

(it's hard to to know what was missing in the course when you just started to learn about databases. I am pretty sure there is tons of things you could add to a database course but for a start it, it seemed to be ok)

I'm still not sure about python programming. A learning outcomes was that I would be able to evaluate articles within that arena but I'm still not even sure what it is. I may have just skipped those readings.

To give the students everything they require to know about the design, creation and data retrieval of database there was absolutely nothing missing.

The connection of ArcGIS 10 to Postgresql/Postgis was not possible.

Nothing that I can come up with. I really like the course.

I can't think of anything.

It could be interesting to include any part where some students should work together in a group. Group work could increase productivity and entertain this course.

As above ...the forums for the course seem to indicate that this used to be part of the course, learning how to create a UML with ESRI datatypes for import into ArcCatalog

There should be more examples in the lecture about spatial database query for instance, can show some examples in PostGIS. Also, there should be one exercise about how to connect the geodatabase to practical use. For example,how to release the database online and provide service.

There should be more lectures. For instance, the how database could be released online and provide service. Some basic information about database interface design.

lecture notes and a little more information about SQL, what it is and how it works.

the missing parts are :

1- the Relation Database model concepts ( Cardinality , relations , ...etc )

2- the Geodatabase elements & structure

This is difficult to answer. What the eye does not see the heart does not grieve for.

No missing part! I think

No missing part

No missing part

Maybe a little more explanation of different data types in ArcGIS databases, especially "geometry". How is this data represented and what does this table column contain?

the missing is to focus more of building complete database structure using more mature database engines.

why; as I mentioned before the technology moves faster and there is now new capabilities and features that are now required, so using SQL server or Oracle will give more power and knowledge

Collaborative project building; it will encourage ideas sharing and networking

Although the teaching interface was a great option, I have missed an exercise where the database-settings were to be defined by us individually, within the "actual" PostGres environment, in particular as a final project exercise: This was a Geodatabase within the ESRI-ArcCatalog-terminology...

I think that all the major areas are covered with the course curriculum.

I believe the course is comprehensive enough to cover important topics related to database in particular geodatabase. May be the database and SQL part needs more technical guides and exercises.

Can't say that something was missing.

There should be more practical exercise, because this course requires hands on understanding. This will make the students understand better.

There should be more exercise on spatial databases, how to build them like in exercise 6 using PostGIS and other softwares. There should also be awya to teach on user interphase as well connection with other softwares. For example, if I have data stored in a geodatabase, how can I extract it starting in a statistical package, do the analysis and get results.

Building database server of our own where databases can be hosted and queried

More practical examples (complicated ones!) in the lecture and learning materials.

Not enough Java information for the exercise on Java programming. A person that has no knowledge of programming would not be able to complete the tasks easily.

Maybe more work with practical work with the databases, but on the other hand it's up to me to practise what I've learned.

Nothing

Nothing

Contentwise it was almost perfect, I give it 95%.

What I can suggest to be added is the tutorials especially in SQL.
What should absolutely NOT be excluded from the course? Why?

Nothing that I can come up with, I think the course fulfills its aims.
The course is well structured and I think that none of these arts can be deleted.
Project is very useful for the learning, therefore, it should not be removed.
All the leassons are necessary and they have no to be excluded.
The Project. Without a doubt, the project is the cornerstone of this course and pivotal to student assimilation of many of the concepts taught under the compulsory courses.

The project. It tool me while, but it was really useful.
I really enjoy the video lectures, especially together with the (well done lecture notes). Hearing someone talking and maybe explaining things with different words, adding different examples etc. helps me to understand thing way better, than just reading them.
And it gives the whole distance learning a more personal touch.
The very core of the course. How to retrieve data and store via sql, uml modelling and object oriented modeling.
Everything is perfect for this course. It was a brain stimulating process.
Postgresql online because it was an excellent training tool for learning SQL queries.

ANY of the sql exercises they should be expanded
The Geodatabase module, because for me it was the most useful part of the course.
The final project where one can chose their own topic.
Project and SQL

the Geographical exercises should definitely be kept since it gives a great link with the normal database sqls
The section of Databases and SQL should absolutely not be excluded. This is, in my opinion, the most important part of the course, in which the student learns about databases.
The project. It sew together many parts of the course
The project. It sew together many parts of the course
PostgreSQL shouldn't not be excluded as it was valuable additional experience for this course.
Fundamentals of Databases and geodatabase aspects
data base design, retrieval and spatial database are most useful and helpful so far to understand the core.
the database design and database retrieval and spatial database are good for us to get a sense of how database work.
I don't think any part should be excluded.
the Project Part in not to be excluded from this course.
because it covers all the process of implementing GDB from the design , conceptual to implementation phase so we lean from it even if there is a missing part in the course.
I think the basic structure of teaching UML, SQL, principles of rdbms and object orientation, and spatial databases is excellent and should be retained and updated.
The design, sql parts are very critical and should not be excluded.
Sql part should not be excluded, it teaches students sql in depth
Sql part should not be excluded, it teaches students sql in depth
The two exercises on testing PostgreSQL statements in the interface and building the database in ArcGIS.
the fist fundamentals of designing databases from UML design

why: it is the basis knowledge have to gain before to get in deep for building Geographic databases.
The Exercises assigned to practice the basic queries:
The Exercises assigned to practice the basic queries:
Designing database, SQL assignment and project. Those task are the back bone of the course and anyone involved in this course should grasp basic idea how is everything connected and how to retrieve the data from database.
NONE
Spatial database and more advanced SQL queries. Because I personally did not know anything about it before and I think it is not so easy to find information and learn it by your own (if subject would not be included in the course).
Open source software. That is important.
POSTGIS practicals
Nothing of what is now taught should be excluded.
UML course
I think all parts where needed to get an overview of databases.
The geodatabase design
The SQL part, it's fun and interesting.
The final assignment. More like that one!
The video lectures should not be excluded from the course. They helped students to know what is more important in the course and where to start digging more.
The pedagogic approach supported my understanding and learning in an excellent way! (1=I totally disagree - 5=I agree strongly)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Number of Responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 (2.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>11 (22.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>19 (39.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>16 (33.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>48 (100.0%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean: 4.0
Standard Deviation: 0.9

How was the workload in this course in relation to the ECTS credits? (1=too easy - 5=too difficult)(7.5 ECTS=5 weeks)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Number of Responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 (2.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>7 (14.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>28 (58.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>9 (18.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3 (6.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>48 (100.0%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean: 3.1
Standard Deviation: 0.8
Tell us something about your experience of web-based/ITC mediated learning in this course. Please elaborate and relate to the compulsory courses GISA01, GISA02 and GISA11!
Tell us something about your experience of web-based/ITC mediated learning in this course. Please elaborate and relate to the compulsory courses GISA01, GISA02 and GISA11!

In general I am becoming more and more happy with my choice to study the LUMA-GIS program. To study at distance can be very interesting and flexible. The only part I miss is the social part of physically attend to a course/program. I don’t find a big difference between my experience studying this course compared to GISA01, GISA02 and/or GISA11.

At the moment I am really satisfied with my experience of web-based learning. It allows me to have the perfect control of my time and most important it gives me the possibility to learn in the same way of a non web-based learning university.

I studied GISA1, GISA2, GISA11, GISN06 etc on web-based/ITC mediated learning, it is the requirement of new learning era and should be supported especially by people who are also working. I am doing a job already and this kind of learning suits me perfectly for being able to spend time on learning during the night hours.

I am very glad I had the possibility to have this experience. I think that the web based learning, as managed here, allow you to be able to manage your time perfectly.

The one challenge is the constraint relating to face to face dialogue in resolving certain problem. The student forum has been an excellent help in this direction especially when we are completing course material that we are all doing. The challenge is more apparent in case like the project where we are each doing individual work and the forum then offers little assistance. As a student then I continue to think of way to reduce these challenges.

On the other hand the entire web-based learning experience is very profitable to international students like myself, who can pursue specialised technical work and be available to other commitments.

good, but a little repetitive regaining SQL and basic database theory. This stuff is already covered in the beginners courses.

I think for an online course it is very important that it is well structured. As teachers and fellow students are not as easy accessible for help, input, guidance, motivation as in an normal campus course, a good structure helps to not get lost or demotivated during the course. For me it is very frustrating going through endless material, without actually knowing what the whole purpose / the whole picture is about. This course and most of the modules in the three mandatory courses were well structured and supported with the right amount of material.

I have a tendency to get side tracked and need to focus more on keeping strict adherence to deadlines. This was also the case with the other courses.

The course started off very good however when it reaches the advance stage it started to become difficult then drop to moderate at applied stage. It was the applied stage that I began to appreciate the whole web-based learning. After these modules then the background knowledge became applicable to individual modules. There are however some modules that students find difficult to handle but still continue to cope. I think as students we all enjoy every aspect of the course and continue to accept the gradual changes being implemented.

In this course I used what I already learned in GISA01, GISA02 and GisA11:
- maps,
- spatial analysis,
- geodatabase,
- reference systems...

I think that this web-based mediated learning is structured and I am learn alot throught it. I think it’s good to have both theoretical and practical exercises, and a good mix of literature and lectures.

It is important to get quick responses from teachers otherwise the motivation might sink very fast. The response time in this course has by far been the fastest and that has been very motivating. The lectures contains a lot of information and then it is great to be able to re watch entire or parts of lectures. The worst part with web based learning is that it is a solemn learning and it is tougher to get help or inspiration from teachers and co students. New concepts and ways of thinking where sometimes hard to crack by oneself but on the other hand it increases the ability to solve problems.

My experience in this course was very good, I found it easy to follow and the exercises not too far away from the lecture contents. In terms of web-based learning, the experience was quite similar to the compulsory courses, as the format is very similar; the only thing is that in GISN06 there is no PDF version of the slides, so if I didn’t remember something I had to go back to the video.

I found that this course was challenging in the sense that it was not always easy to interpret comments from the instructors because of the technical nature of the course topics. In many of the other courses in the web-based mediated learning there were technical aspects but a lot more theory and a more general theme for the course. In the GISN06 course it was almost all hands on which was difficult when things weren't working as they should.

It is a flexible way of learning, despite of there is not class mates the forum is a very useful tool

I think its quite demanding and some of the assignment are difficult to relate to real issues like in the geographical databases when I tried to use real life scenario which made me almost loose interest with the course since all the queries I was writing were not yielding the desired results

My experience has been great. Learning with out having travelling expenses, and do it from my computer is great. I think that so far the lectures and the exercises has been very good. The limitation is that in order to do this, the student has to be very discipline. In my case the first 3 courses I passed them on time, but then I lost the "wave" due to activities on my work-place, and it has been difficult to speed-up again.

The main responsability is of the student, but also, in my case, my delay was related with the procedure of application and that the course queue was cleansed of the courses I didnt begin on time. So, my experience of the courses has been great, but the time management has been not that good.

This is one of the best courses on this programme so far. The teachers were really helpful too.

I have not read the compulsory courses at lu but it worked well for me. Web based lectures was good and pedagogic. My only issue was one of the persons english pronunciation.

I have not read the compulsory courses at lu but it worked well for me. Web based lectures was good and pedagogic. My only issue was one of the persons english pronunciation.

There are some limitations on interaction with teachers and other students due to the web-based nature of the courses but that has to be expected and overall teachers do respond to questions quickly and as fully as possible

There was a lot of reading material which where good. What I missed was lecture notes.

The course of GIS 11 is mandatory for this course that it gives a lot of experience and knowledge regarding the GDB structure.

I enjoyed the learning process for the more specialised topics in this course. I still feel like a beginner, even though this is my second GIS masters degree programme, but this course has made me feel much more confident that I will be able to learn and use more advanced GIS skills.

Web base ICT courses are veru critical but challenging to students. Since the students are responsible for every minor things.

Web base ICT learning is good but it is on students shoulder everything. It is needs much time and energy than normal classroom learning

Web base ICT learning is good but it is on students shoulder everything. It is needs much time and energy than normal classroom learning

I much appreciate the flexibility offered by this way of learning. Although I was a bit late in submitting my last report, the teacher was fast in providing feedback.

i really enjoy the web based learning experience especially in GISA11, which I find lot of materials and guides also the user forums

It has been worth the while. Teachers’ response is prompt and guidance is very generous.

Generally, I found that the effort per credit was smaller in this course than in the previous ones.

After taking the course, I had a positive learning experience. The course was well organized and video lectures were interesting and not too long. I am especially satisfied with the feedback from professors from which I learned a lot. Most of the lecture covered only basic ideas of the themes which left plenty of room to explore and learn from other students and internet sources. Since I did not have any previous knowledge about SQL and geodatabases, I now feel confident about those concepts and how is everything connected.
My experience in this regard is more of indifferent. I found the compulsory courses very important and at the sometime the support from the university in some cases below average. This can be witnessed in some of the exercises.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Good experience.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>It is good. I like the interaction and the independence. I am in Africa, Kenya and I can follow the course. If when I travel abroad and can still follow it. For me its a revolution in e-learning. But Lund has taken it a level higher. The limitation is that it would be nice to have specified session with the teachers. That platform can be introduced. By making specific appointments in advance. Skype does it quite well.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good. Good teachers' response more outstanding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I had a problem with the PostGIS software, since I used an old version, not the updated one, and I was not getting the desired results. The rest of my web-based experience (interaction with teachers and students, software use, etc.) was excellent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No opinion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nice to be able to do this at my own speed. The course worked well as a web-based course</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Everything works fine for me, and I'm getting used to web-based learning. It requires lots of work, as one should deliver tons of exercises, compared to traditional learning.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The material and setup is perfect. Maybe the user interface could need a facelift. It is not totally clear how to find your way when the layout changes depending on where you are. Try to get a more united look and believe it will clear up.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most topics in this course has been equipped with video lectures, books, teachers notes, and exercises. These are very good for us especially the video lectures has helped us to understand more.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
How much was the balance between theory and practicals in this course?
1= too much theory; 3= Good mix; 5= too practical

3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
The theory and practicals were well balanced and the literature included guided our basic knowledge into application
3
too little practical
3
4
3
5
3. A good Mix.
3
3
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3
3
3
3
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Good mix
3
3
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Good mix.
3
3
3
3
3
3
5
3
3
Free comment on anything else regarding the course.

Thanks for an interesting course!
Overall the content of the course was good.
I think that course was very pivotal to my understanding of the material covered to date. In particular, the project forced me to revisit the theory and practical lessons taught under the compulsory sections of the course. This course has left me with a greater appreciation for the entire Msc Programme.
Thanks you for a very nice course. I was dreading the whole topic when I signed up, thinking this will be one of the most boring courses, but probably useful. But it turned out, that i enjoyed it very much and didn’t find it boring at all. I found the whole content very applicable and made me feel this is a topic that is worth looking further into.
When a assignment is marked in moodle can we be prompted with an email. I know it may be a way of keeping us on our toes and constantly logging onto ac. However a prompt would be much more beneficial in my case as I have so much doing. I will however still log in periodically to check in.
The course is an excellent one however the completion time limit for each module at times continue to be a challenge as students continue to struggle with work and study situations.
The use of Postgis online was too limited.
Great course. I have learn a lot about databases. I hope I can apply on my work.
I am grateful for this course as I get new insight on SQL. It would be nice to have possibility to make some extra exercises that are not compulsory in basic course. Student can evaluate his background experience and do extra if he feels so.
Overall, the course is a bit easy. I think the exercise should be working on a application software instead of interface. And also maybe include something about build the interface of the database, how to release to web and provide service.
Overall the course is easy. It would be better if we are given example of a real geodatabase, and all the course content are given with examples regarding this geodatabase.
Nothing
I would argue that some of my submissions could have passed on the first try without resubmission, but I appreciate the teachers thoroughness and willingness to suggest improvements.
the course i find really enjoyable and will be valuable to every GIS user
This course is among few of the courses that I found it very important and really enjoyed working on every course material.
I like the good work done by the teachers. On my part, am grateful that they have been quite patient with my progress. I would appreciate future interactions.
I really enjoyed this course. I would set it in the very top level among the ones I have studied so far at Lund. Good theory presentations, interesting use of software, perfect combination of theory and practice and excellent support from staff. Thank you!
I could have been better to communicate with you teachers, I think my speed had been higher.
The course is great and the approach used to deliver it is very good.
In the chapter standards and data, the document needs to be updated. Most sites listed there are not working anymore.

What is your gender?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What is your gender?</th>
<th>Number of Responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>31 (64.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>17 (35.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>48 (100.0%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What is your gender?</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What is your age?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37 years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>